UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,931	08/21/2003	Cheol-Min Jeon	1349.1271	7373
21171 7590 05/19/2008 STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 700		JAMAL, ALEXANDER		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/19/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/644,931	JEON, CHEOL-MIN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ALEXANDER JAMAL	2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 F</u>	Sehruary 2008						
	s action is non-final.						
<i>;</i>	,						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.	·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to:	or election requirement						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some coll None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/644,931 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Based upon the submitted amendment, the examiner notes that claims 1,3,6,17,21,22,23 have been amended.

Claim Rejections- 35 USC § 103 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1-12, 15-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipton et al [US 5,991,398] and further in view of Hoess (5956076)

Regarding claim 1, Lipton et al disclose a communication apparatus having a one-way speakerphone operation, as shown in Fig. 1, comprising: a handset (32) connected to the communication apparatus by a transmit-receive line, for a two-way communication operation, wherein the transmit-receive line is enabled when the handset of the communication apparatus is off-hook [Fig. 1; col. 4, lines 31-65]; a speaker (22) outputting a sound of the handset communication by pressing speakerphone key 66, to implement the one-way speakerphone operation [Fig. 1; col. 1, lines 61-65; col. 4, lines 53-65]; an input key part (i.e. user interface 56) comprising a speakerphone selection key (66) to select the one-way speakerphone operation [Fig. 3; col. 6, lines 55-64] and a plurality of dial keys (62) for a dialing of communication apparatus [col. 3, lines 3-13]; and

a central control device (i.e. microcontroller) (100) controlling the one-way speakerphone operation of the speaker (22) during the two-way communication operation of the handset [Figs. 1-3; col. 4, lines 53-65; col. 5, lines 51-58; col. 6, lines 46-67];

wherein a conversation signal is transmitted through the speaker in response to the handset being off-hook [col. 4, lines 53-65], the one-way speakerphone operation selection key (66) signal being input [Figs. 1-4; col. 1, line 53 to col. 2, line 18; col. 2, line 54 to col.4, line 65], and the dialing having being completed is inherently present in the speakerphone set. However, Lipton does not disclose an additional activated speaker that can simultaneously be used with the telephone handset during a call to output the far end signal.

Hoess discloses a communications network (that may transport phone calls) and discloses that the audio signals may be distributed to multiple handsets or audio systems (which would inherently have activations and deactivation means in order to be turned on/off). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this

Art Unit: 2614

application to implement multiple audio output sources simultaneously for the inherent advantage of providing enhanced user interfaces.(Col 5 lines 1-20). Hoess also discloses the enhanced user interface advantage.

Claim 3 is essentially similar to claim 1 and is rejected for the reasons stated above.

Regarding claim 6, Lipton et al disclose a communication apparatus having a one-way speakerphone operation, as shown in Fig. 1, comprising:

a handset (32) configured in the communication apparatus by connection through a transmit-receive line, for a two-way communication operation [Fig. 1;col. 4, lines 31-65; col. 3, line 43 to col. 4, line 30]; a speaker (22) for one-way speakerphone operation [Fig. 1; col. 1, lines 61-65; col. 4, lines 53-65]; and a control device (i.e. microcontroller) (100) controlling the one-way speakerphone operation of the speaker (22) during the two-way communication operation of the handset (32) [Figs. 1-3; col. 4, lines 53-65; col. 5, lines 51-58; col. 6, lines 46-67];

wherein the feature that a sound is not transmitted through the speaker until a dialing has been completed is inherently present in the speakerphone [Figs. 1-2; col. 3, line 43 to col. 4, line 30].

,

Claims 17, are essentially similar to claim 6 and is rejected for the reasons stated above.

Claim 21 is essentially similar to claim 17 except for a machine- readable storage storing information to enable a device to perform a method. Lipton et al further disclose a machine-readable storage storing information to enable a device to perform a method [col. 10, lines 56-64; col. 12, lines 33-48; col. 14, lines 36-57; col. 16, lines 25-42].

Regarding claim 23, Lipton et al disclose a communication apparatus for a one way operation and a two way communication operation of a conversation requiring dialing for connection, as shown in Fig. 1, comprising:

a handset (32) to perform the two-way communication operation [Fig. 1; col. 1, line 53 to col. 2, line 10; col. 2, line 54 to col. 3, line 14];

a speaker (22) located on the base (30), separate from the handset (32), to perform at least the one-way communication operation using the speakerphone key (66), while the handset is performing the two-way communication operation [Figs. 1-2; col. 4, lines 31-65; col. 3, line 44 to col. 4, line 30],

wherein the conversation is selectively presented through the speaker 22) in the one-way communication operation after-during the two-way communication operation after a dialing for the conversation has been completed also during the two-way communication operation [col. 4, lines 53-65].

Claim 22 is essentially similar to claim 22 and is rejected for the reasons stated above.

Regarding claim 2, Lipton et al further disclose the apparatus, wherein when the dial key signal is not input within a predetermined time of the handset being off-hook and with the one-way speakerphone function selection key signal being input, the central control device switches to an on-hook dial mode in which a user dials with the handset being on-hook, and the conversation signal is output through the speaker for a two-way speakerphone operation [Figs. 1-2].

Claim 4 is similar to claim 2 and is rejected for the reasons stated above.

Regarding claims, 18-19, the limitations are shown above.

Regarding claim 20, Lipton et al further disclose the method, wherein the on-hook dialing mode allows a user to communicate with another party through the speaker (22), in a two-way communication operation, while the handset is on-hook (i.e. handsfree operation) [Figs. 1-2; col. 3, lines 22-43].

Regarding claim 7, Lipton et al further teach the communication apparatus, wherein the transmit-receive line is enabled when the handset is off-hook [col. 4, lines 31-65].

Regarding claim 8, Lipton et al further teach the communication apparatus comprising an input key part (56) comprising a selection key (66) for the one-way speakerphone operation of the speaker and a

Art Unit: 2614

plurality of dial keys (62) [Figs. 1-3; col. 4, lines 53-65; col. 6, lines 55-64; col. 3, lines 3-13]. . Regarding claims 9-12, the limitations are shown above.

Regarding claims 15-16, Lipton et al further teach the communication apparatus comprising a memory device that stores user data and a program for the control device, wherein the memory device comprises a ROM and a DRAM [col. 10, lines 56-64; col. 12, lines 33-48; col. 14, lines 36-57; col. 16, lines 25-42].

4. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipton et al and Hoess (5956076) as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Parks et al [US 5,877,746 A]. Regarding claims 13-14, although Lipton and Hoess et al disclose using a user interface 56 with a processor (100) [Fig. 2; col. 5, lines 51-58;

col. 10, lines 56-64], Lipton et al do not teach expressly using a personal computer.

Parks et al teach a communication apparatus comprising a personal computer interface coupling a personal computer (42) to the control device, wherein the personal computer interface interfaces the communication apparatus and the personal computer to transmit information there between [Figs. 4, 17; col. 6, line 50 to col. 7, line 46].

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Parks et al with Lipton et al in order to integrate the system using the computer interface [Parks et al; col. 6, lines 50-64].

## **Response to Arguments**

- 1. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/644,931 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications

and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications.

/Alexander Jamal/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Examiner Alexander Jamal

May 18, 2008